

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

* * * * *

C.W.P NO.16853 OF 2006

Date of decision : December 04, 2006

* * * * *

Ashok Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

* * * * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S BHALLA

Present: Mr. S.K Jain, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sukhdip Singh Brar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for respondents no. 1 to 6.

* * * * *

Viney Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for respondents no. 1 to 6 has brought to the notice of the Court that the milling capacity of the petitioner has already been revised and has been reassessed at two tones per hour.

Keeping in view the aforesaid fact, we dispose of the present petition as having been rendered infructuous. However, the competent authority may take into the consideration the revised capacity of the petitioner-firm and take such further appropriate action as may be required in accordance with law.

Copy of the order be given dasti on payment of the usual charges.

(VINEY MITTAL)
JUDGE

December 04, 2006
ritu

(H.S BHALLA)
JUDGE